British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
X(X): XX-XX, 20XX

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

The Fourier multipliers of $p-$Fourier spaces on compact groups

E. J. Atto [1]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, University of Lomé, POBox 1515, Lomé, Togo*

Y. Mensah [*2]

[2] *Department of Mathematics, University of Lomé, Togo and International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA-Unesco Chair), University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin*

V. S. K. Assiamoua [3]

[3] *Department of Mathematics, University of Lomé, Togo*

Research Article

Received: XX December 20XX
Accepted: XX December 20XX
Online Ready: XX December 20XX

Abstract

In this paper, we prove some properties of Fourier multipliers on compact groups. Mainly we obtain the invariance of $p-$Fourier spaces under the action of Fourier multipliers over compact groups.

Keywords: Compact group, Banach algebra, Fourier transform, $p-$Fourier space, Fourier multiplier
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 43A30; 43A77

1 Introduction

The Fourier transform has various applications for instance in Physics and Engineering. A recent use of Fourier transform in signal process can be found in [11] and [12]. The classical Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or in a general abelian group brought out some functions spaces called $p-$Fourier spaces. Classical $p-$Fourier spaces were previously considered by Larsen [7], Figà-Talamanca et al. [3] and Martin and Yap [8]. Thanks to the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of vector measures on compact groups introduced by Assiamoua and Olubummo in [1], two of the authors of the present paper defined the vector analogue of $p-$Fourier spaces and studied some of their topological properties [10]. The particular case where $p = 1$ gives the vector version of the notion of Fourier algebra of compact groups. The inversion formula allowed to introduce Fourier multipliers over compact groups in [2] following Pisier [13] who studied the case of abelian groups. The main goal of this paper is to study Fourier multipliers over $p-$Fourier spaces. We obtain among other results the important fact that $p-$Fourier spaces are invariant by the action of Fourier multipliers.
We have organized the article as follows. The section 2 is devoted to fix notations and to recall some

**Corresponding author: E-mail: mensahyaogan2@yahoo.fr*

properties of the $p-$Fourier spaces and related spaces which we may need. In section 3 we establish our main results.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a compact group with normalized Haar measure dg. Its dual space Σ is defined as the set of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G. In each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we choose an element U^σ and denote its hilbertian representation space by H_σ. In compact group analysis, it is well known that H_σ is of finite dimension d_σ [4]. Let $(\xi_1^\sigma, \ldots, \xi_{d_\sigma}^\sigma)$ be a basis of H_σ. The matrix elements of U^σ are given by

$$u_{ij}^\sigma(g) = \langle U_g^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

We denote by $\overline{U}^\sigma$ be the contragredient of the representation U^σ, that is the representation whose matrix elements are the complex conjugate of those of U^σ. For further details on representations theory we refer to [4], [5] and [6].

Now let A be a complex Banach algebra (in fact A can just be taken as a Banach space in any situation where we do not need to multiply its elements). We denote by $L_1(G, A)$ the space of Haar-integrable $A-$valued functions on G in the Bochner sense. The Fourier transform of $f \in L_1(G, A)$ is defined in [1] by

$$\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi, \eta) = \int_G \langle \overline{U}_g^\sigma \xi, \eta \rangle f(g) dg \tag{2.2}$$

where $(\xi, \eta) \in H_\sigma \times H_\sigma$. In this case $\widehat{f}(\sigma)$ is interpreted as a sesquilinear mapping from $H_\sigma \times H_\sigma$ into A. The authors in [1] obtained, among other results, that the Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F} : f \to \mathcal{F}(f) := \widehat{f}$ is injective and that the reconstruction formula is given by

$$f = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) u_{ij}^\sigma. \tag{2.3}$$

Now we set

$$\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathbb{S}(H_\sigma \times H_\sigma, A) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathbb{S}(H_\sigma \times H_\sigma, A)$ is the space of continuous sesquilinear mappings from $H_\sigma \times H_\sigma$ into A. For $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A)$, we set

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup\{\|\varphi(\sigma)\| \ : \sigma \in \Sigma\} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\|\varphi(\sigma)\|$ is the usual norm of a continuous sesquilinear mapping :

$$\|\varphi(\sigma)\| = \sup\{\|\varphi(\sigma)(\xi, \eta)\| \ : \ \|\xi\| \leq 1, \ \|\eta\| \leq 1\}. \tag{2.6}$$

We consider the following subspaces of $\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A)$:

$$\mathbb{S}_\infty(\Sigma, A) = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A) \ : \ \|\varphi\|_\infty < \infty\} \tag{2.7}$$

and for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma, A) = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A) \ : \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \|\varphi(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p < \infty\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Many fundamental properties of these spaces were studied in [9]. On the other hand, the vector version of $p-$Fourier spaces $\mathcal{A}_p(G, A)$ were defined and studied in [10]. We recall their definitions :

$$\mathcal{A}_p(G, A) = \{f \in L_1(G, A) \ : \ \widehat{f} \in \mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma, A)\}, \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Each space $\mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma, A)$ is a Banach space if it is endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{S}_\infty} = \sup\{\|\varphi(\sigma)\| \ : \sigma \in \Sigma\}, \text{ for } p = \infty \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} = \left(\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma}\sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \|\varphi(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ 1 \le p < \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Also each space $\mathcal{A}_p(G, A)$ is a Banach space if it is endowed with each one of the following norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} = \|f\|_{L_1} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\|f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}. \tag{2.13}$$

We give now the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function. A Fourier multiplier on $L_1(G, A)$ is a mapping $M_\varphi : L_1(G, A) \to L_1(G, A), f \mapsto M_\varphi f$ such that

$$M_\varphi f = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma}\sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \varphi(\sigma)\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)u_{ij}^\sigma. \tag{2.14}$$

where $\widehat{f}$ is of finite support.

We recall the following result which we may need enormously. Its proof can be found in [2].

Theorem 2.1. *M_φ is a Fourier multiplier if and only if $\widehat{M_\varphi f} = \varphi\widehat{f}$.*

We define the product $\times$ on $\mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A)$ as follows.
If $\phi_1,\ \phi_2 \in \mathbb{S}(\Sigma, A)$ then $\phi_1 \times \phi_2$ is given by

$$(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\sigma} \phi_1(\sigma)(\xi_k^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\phi_2(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_k^\sigma). \tag{2.15}$$

More explicitly if we consider the matrices $(a_{i,j}^\sigma)_{1\le i,j\le d_\sigma}$ and $(b_{i,j}^\sigma)_{1\le i,j\le d_\sigma}$ defined by

$$a_{i,j}^\sigma = \phi_1(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma),\ b_{i,j}^\sigma = \phi_2(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) \tag{2.16}$$

then the matrix associated with $(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)(\sigma)$ is the matrix product $(a_{i,j}^\sigma)(b_{i,j}^\sigma)$.

Theorem 2.2. *For $f, g \in L_1(G, A)$,*

$$\widehat{(f * g)} = \widehat{f} \times \widehat{g}$$

where $f * g$ denotes the convolution of f by g.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f * g}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) &= \int_G < \overline{U}_t^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma > f * g(t)dt \\
&= \int_G < \overline{U}_t^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma > \left(\int_G f(ts^{-1})g(s)ds\right) dt \\
&= \int_{G\times G} < \overline{U}_{ts}^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma > f(t)g(s)dtds \\
&= \int_G g(s)ds \int_G < \overline{U}_t^\sigma \overline{U}_s^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma > f(t)dt \\
&= \int_G \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\overline{U}_s^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)g(s)ds \\
&= \int_G \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\sum_k \overline{u}_{kj}^\sigma(s)\xi_k^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)g(s)ds \\
&= \sum_k \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_k^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) \int_G \overline{u}_{kj}^\sigma(s)g(s)ds \\
&= \sum_k \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_k^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma) \int_G < \overline{U}_s^\sigma \xi_j^\sigma, \xi_k^\sigma > g(s)ds \\
&= \sum_k \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_k^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_k^\sigma) = (\widehat{f} \times \widehat{g})(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma),
\end{aligned}$$

using in the computation the equalities

$$\overline{u}^{\sigma}_{kj}(s) =< \overline{U}^{\sigma}_{s}\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k} > \text{ and } \overline{U}^{\sigma}_{s}\xi^{\sigma}_{j} = \sum_{k}^{d_\sigma} \overline{u}^{\sigma}_{kj}(s)\xi^{\sigma}_{k}.$$

Thus $\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \times \widehat{g}$. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let M_{φ_1}, M_{φ_2} be Fourier multipliers on $L_1(G, A)$, $f, g \in L_1(G, A)$. The following equalities hold.*

1. $M_{\varphi_1}(f * g) = (M_{\varphi_1} f) * g$.
2. $M_{\varphi_1} f * M_{\varphi_2} g = M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2}(f * g)$.

Proof. Let $(\xi, \eta) \in H_\sigma \times H_\sigma$ with $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \xi^{\sigma}_{j}$ and $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \beta_i \xi^{\sigma}_{i}$ in the canonical basis $(\xi^{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \xi^{\sigma}_{d_\sigma})$ of H_σ. The equality $\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f} = \varphi_1 \widehat{f}$ leads to

$$(\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f})(\sigma)(\xi, \eta) = \varphi_1(\sigma)\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi, \eta) = \varphi_1(\sigma)\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \beta_i \xi^{\sigma}_{i})$$

$= \varphi_1(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i})$. Thus we have:

$1.)\ \mathcal{F}(M_{\varphi_1}(f * g))(\sigma)(\xi, \eta) = \varphi_1(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \widehat{f * g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i})$

$= \varphi_1(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{f} \times \widehat{g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) = \varphi_1(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \varphi_1(\sigma) \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f} \times \widehat{g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f * g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i})$

$= \mathcal{F}((M_{\varphi_1} f) * g)(\sigma)(\xi, \eta)$.

By injectivity of $\mathcal{F}$ we have : $M_{\varphi_1}(f * g) = (M_{\varphi_1} f) * g$.

$2.)\ \mathcal{F}(M_{\varphi_1} f * M_{\varphi_2} g)(\sigma)(\xi, \eta) = (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f} \times \widehat{M_{\varphi_2} g})(\sigma)(\xi, \eta)$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f} \times \widehat{M_{\varphi_2} g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \widehat{M_{\varphi_1} f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{M_{\varphi_2} g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \varphi_1(\sigma) \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \varphi_2(\sigma) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \varphi_1(\sigma) \varphi_2(\sigma) \widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} \sum_{k} \widehat{M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2} f}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{k}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) \widehat{g}(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2} f} \times \widehat{g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i})$

$= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\sigma} \alpha_j \overline{\beta_i} (\widehat{M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2} f * g})(\sigma)(\xi^{\sigma}_{j}, \xi^{\sigma}_{i}) = \mathcal{F}(M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2}(f * g))(\sigma)(\xi, \eta)$.

Again by injectivity of $\mathcal{F}$, we conclude that
$M_{\varphi_1} f * M_{\varphi_2} g = M_{\varphi_1\varphi_2}(f * g)$. □

Before we state the next theorem, we discuss some examples of functions φ satisfying the condition

$$\inf\{|\varphi(\sigma)| \,:\, \sigma \in \Sigma\} > 0 \tag{2.17}$$

Let $G = \mathbb{T}$ be the one-dimensional torus, then $\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}$, the set of integers. Consider the two families $(\varphi_\theta)_{\theta\in[0,2\pi[}$ and $(\psi_\theta)_{\theta\in[0,2\pi[}$ of functions defined from $\mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_\theta(n) = e^{in\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\theta(n) = \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n^2+1}. \tag{2.18}$$

Each mapping φ_θ satisfies the condition (2.17) whereas the functions ψ_θ do not satisfy it.

Theorem 2.4. *If φ is bounded and is such that $\inf\{|\varphi(\sigma)| \,:\, \sigma \in \Sigma\} > 0$, then*
$$M_\varphi f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A) \text{ if and only if } f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} M_\varphi f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A) &\implies \widehat{M_\varphi f} \in \mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma,A) \\ &\implies \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}^p &= \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i,j} \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i,j} \|\varphi(\sigma)\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma \sum_{i,j} |\varphi(\sigma)|^p \|\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} d_\sigma |\varphi(\sigma)|^p \sum_{i,j} \|\widehat{f}(\sigma)(\xi_j^\sigma, \xi_i^\sigma)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\inf\{|\varphi(\sigma)| \,:\, \sigma \in \Sigma\} > 0$ then there exists $C > 0$ such that $C \leq \inf\{|\varphi(\sigma)| \,:\, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$. Therefore

$$\|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \geq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M_\varphi f} \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A) &\implies \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} < \infty \\ &\implies \widehat{f} \in \mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma,A) \\ &\implies f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A) &\implies \widehat{f} \in \mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma,A) \\ &\implies \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the boundedness of φ, there exist $C' > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|\varphi(\sigma)| \,:\, \sigma \in \Sigma\} \leq C'.$$

Then

$$\|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \leq C'\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A) &\implies \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} < \infty \\ &\implies \widehat{M_\varphi f} \in \mathbb{S}_p(\Sigma,A) \\ &\implies M_\varphi f \in \mathcal{A}_p(G,A). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let M_φ be a bounded Fourier multiplier on $L_1(G,A)$. Then there exists two constants $C_1 > 0\,, C_2 > 0$ such that for each function f in $\mathcal{A}_p(G,A)$, we have:*

1. $\|M_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C_1\|f\|_{L_1} + C_2\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}$.
2. $\|M_\varphi f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C_2\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}$.

Proof. Since M_φ is bounded on $L_1(G, A)$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\forall f \in L_1(G, A)$, $\|M_\varphi f\|_{L_1} \leq C_1\|f\|_{L_1}$. The boundedness of M_φ implies that φ is also bounded as a function on Σ. From the proof of Theorem 2.4, we get the existence of a constant $C' \geq 0$ such that $\|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \leq C'\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}$. Setting $C_2 = C'$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.\ \|M_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} &= \|M_\varphi f\|_{L_1} + \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \leq C_1\|f\|_{L_1} + C_2\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}. \\ 2.\ \|M_\varphi f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p} &= \|\widehat{M_\varphi f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} \leq C_2\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *If M_φ is a bounded Fourier multiplier on $L_1(G, A)$ then M_φ is also a bounded Fourier multiplier on $\mathcal{A}_p(G, A)$ endowed with each of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_p}$ or $\|\cdot\|^{\mathcal{A}_p}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2.5 (part 1), there exists two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $\|M_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C_1\|f\|_{L_1 1} + C_2\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p}$. If we set $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ then we have $\|M_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_1} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p})$, that is $\|M_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p}$.

On the other hand, we know that $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathbb{S}_p} = \|f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p}$ by definition , so using Theorem 2.5 (part 2), we have $\|M_\varphi f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p} \leq C_2\|f\|^{\mathcal{A}_p}$. □

References

[1] *V.S.K. Assiamoua, A. Olubummo, Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of vector-valued measures on compact groups, Acta Sci. Math.(Szeged)* ***53****, (1989), 301-307.*

[2] *E. J. Atto, Y. Mensah and V. S. K. Assiamoua, Completely bounded Fourier multipliers over compact groups, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 6, No.* ***13****, (2012), 633-642.*

[3] *A. Figà-Talamanca, G. I. Gaudry, Multipliers and sets of uniqueness of L_p, Michigan Math. J. ,* ***17*** *(1970), 179-191.*

[4] *G. B. Folland, A course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis, CRC press, 1995.*

[5] *E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Volume I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1963).*

[6] *Hewitt, E., Ross, K. A., Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Volume II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1970).*

[7] *R. Larsen, T. S. Liu and J. K. Wang, On functions with Fourier transforms in L_p, Michigan Math. J.,* ***11*** *(1964), 369-378.*

[8] *J. C. Martin, L. Y. H. Yap, The algebra of functions with transforms in L^p, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* ***24*** *(1970), 217-219.*

[9] *Y. Mensah, V.S.K. Assiamoua On Spaces of Fourier-Stieltjes transform of vector measures on compact groups, Math. Sci. Q. J., Vol. 4, No* ***1*** *(2010), 1-8.*

[10] *Y. Mensah, V.S.K. Assiamoua, The p-Fourier spaces $\mathcal{A}_p(G, A)$ of vector valued functions on compact groups, Adv. Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. 6, No.* ***1****, (2010), 59-66.*

[11] *V. N. Mishra, K. Khatri, and L. N. Mishra, Product Summability Transform of Conjugate series of Fourier series, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci., Article ID 298923 (2012), 13 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2012/298923.*

[12] *V. N. Mishra, L. N. Mishra, Trigonometric Approximation of Signals (functions) in L_p- norms, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., Vol. 7,****19****, (2012), 909-918.*

[13] *G. Pisier, Non-commutative vector valued L_p-spaces and completely $p-$summing maps, Astrisque (Soc. Math. France)* ***247****, (1998).*

©2013 E. J. Atto, Y. Mensah & V. S. K. Assiamoua; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.