



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10027
Title of the Manuscript:	TRACE -BASED MODEL FOR KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Even though the paper has been presented in well manner but it is lacking of highlighting the actual problem need to be solved towards toward proposal the model of model for Knowledge Acquisition System for Valuing Knowledge. 2. There is a lacking of an explanation on how instruments have been used in getting the solution. 3. Besides that, the dataset that being used for the experimentation need to be highlighted in Methodology section. This is also about the tools that are going to be used in producing the results. 4. The formatting of the diagram as well as the editorial work and grammatically errors can also be improved.	1. Please consider Review 1A 2. Please consider more explained in each stage in the Methodology and the model structure has been improved for this purpose and point of view. 3. Please consider subsection 3.2 Training (more data added about data set).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Once the author has considered all the comments as mentioned as above, the article can be accepted for publication.	