



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10027
Title of the Manuscript:	TRACE -BASED MODEL FOR KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author(s) should follow all the article preparation instructions for this journal. The organization of these sub sections need to be corrected. There are subsections 2.1.1, 2.1.2,... after the subsection 2.2. Trace Based reasoning approach needs to be clarify more. Neuro-Fuzzy Models needs to be clarified more details including fuzzification and defuzzification steps used for this research. The figures related to Neuro-Fuzzy Models are not clear. Conclusion needs to be refined. Sufficient evidence from the results should provide with each conclusion. The fourth conclusion needs to be clarified.	>> The corrections for the subsections of 2.2 has been done. >> More clarification about Trace Based Reasoning can be found in Review 5A. >> More details about Neuro-Fuzzy system can be found in Review 5B. >> Please consider the Review 5C
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Figure 1 and Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8, Table 2, Table 4, Table -5, Table -7 are not clear for the reader.	Hopefully, they are more clear now.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript deals with an interesting topic of general interest despite the fact that the presentation of the paper is not satisfied. I believe that the paper needs to meet the indicated clarifications prior to publication.	