



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10037
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CONVOLUTION SUMS $\sum_{m < \frac{n}{2}} m\sigma_e(m)\sigma_f(n - 2m)$
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the definition (15) of the sum $T_{\{m,e,f\}}$, the symbol m is used as the summation variable and cannot be used in the subscript. The sum must be named e.g. as $T_{\{1,e,f\}}$ because this is the special case of a more general sum $T_{\{k,e,f\}}$ in the author's paper [5]. This change is to apply to all that follows.	$T_{\{m,e,f\}}(n)$ is defined first in this paper not in the paper [5]. In [5], I only define $I_{\{m,e,f\}}^{\{k\}}(n)$. And to keep the consistence I define the similar rule but it is not the same.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Theorem 1.2 is the main result. For its proof, it may be kind to the readers if the author states that the proof is done by expressing $L(q)L(q^2)M(q)$ in two different ways.	Since $L(q)L(q^2)M(q)$ is already calculated in the above theorem by $L(q)\times L(q^2)M(q)$, so I only state $M(q)\times L(q)L(q^2)$ in Eq. (17).