



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10290
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Retarding Force on Mass Flow Rates of Fluid at Different Temperatures Using Software Visualization Technique
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title: Accurately reflects content Needs revision (suggest alternative) Language : Grammatically correct Needs revision Abstract : Clear and adequate Should be rewritten Should be condensed Missing Presentation: Good Containing irrelevant material Should be rearranged Illustrations: Good Fig(s) _____ may be omitted Extra figures required Quality inadequate Tables: Good Should be rearranged Tables _____ may be omitted Abbreviations, formulae, units:	We have made all the necessary corrections require to this part.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Confirm to acceptable standards Need revision Should be explained A notation list is necessary . References: Appropriate Incorrect Insufficient Too extensive	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		