



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10710
Title of the Manuscript:	2-tuple prioritized aggregation operators and their application to multiple attribute group decision making
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Usually in Abstract section we do not suggest to cite any reference. Author may edit his/her abstract section. • Reference citation in the text needs some modification based on the journal format.	1. We have removed the reference in Abstract. 2. Reference citation in the text has been modified based on the journal format.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article discussion section is generally reviewing the article again! It can present the limitation of the developed method (if there is any). Author may add a few suggestions for further studies to practice and/or develop the work.	We have presented the limitation of the developed method and added a few suggestions for further studies to practice and/or develop the work.