



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10710
Title of the Manuscript:	2-tuple prioritized aggregation operators and their application to multiple attribute group decision making
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are several papers which focus on this topic, such as: L.Y. Zhou, R. Lin, X.F. Zhao, G.W. Wei. Uncertain linguistic prioritized aggregation operators and their application to multiple attribute group decision making. International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems, 2013, 21(4). D.H. Peng, T.D. Wang, C.Y. Gao, H. Wang. Multigranular uncertain linguistic prioritized aggregation operators and their application to multiple criteria group decision making. Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 857916, 13 pages. Both these two papers above are the extensions of this manuscript, and both of the papers above operate the linguistic information using the linguistic labels directly, which is much more straightforward and convenient than the 2-tuple approach used in this manuscript.	Many thanks for your value comments. It is noted that the 2-tuple approach is reasonably different from uncertain linguistic approach and multigranular uncertain linguistic approach. Consequently, my paper is reasonably different from the above two papers.