



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11432
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Performance of Lottery Winning Strategies: A Case Study of Oyo State Lottery, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) The paper is ok, but lacks order of arrangement. The author(s) supposed to tell the readers each of sub heading in each section at the end of the introduction e,g sub-section 1.1 contains Lottery Formats, The Description of Oyo State Lottery is analyzed in sub-section 1.2 etc	The manuscript has been corrected. The order of arrangement of the manuscript has been provided at the end of Section 1 which contains the Introduction. Corrections have been highlighted in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		