



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11432
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Performance of Lottery Winning Strategies: A Case Study of Oyo State Lottery, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Conclusion should supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript. Also, authors should provide adequate proof for their claims from literature.	The manuscript has been corrected, with corrections highlighted. Discussion and conclusion are based on actual facts and figures. The Methodology has been reordered for better flow while the Conclusion and the Abstract have been redrafted to reflect the changes. Sources of Tables 1-3 are now also included. Some other changes were also highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The weaknesses of the manuscript is the literature review. Authors need to cite other suitable current references. The methodology should be justified.	The literature review has been improved; other suitable current references have been added. The affected part has been highlighted. The methodology used has been justified by citing references relating to the methodology used in sections 2.1 and 2.2.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		