



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11626
Title of the Manuscript:	A real time Secured Voice Framework using the totally reconstructed Discrete Wavelet Transformation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is a need to implement the proposed method with some standard dataset and most important to compare with other available state of the art techniques. It should be helpful to show the time complexity of your method with already existing methods. There should be more figures, which show original speech and encrypted speech signal.	More figures Detailed example Original voice and encrypted voice Matlab code sample added Comparison with others work should be done in the next paper
Minor REVISION comments	Author needs to rearrange the related work such as ref [6] is published in 2012, where as it that ref[7] is an addition to ref[6], but ref[6] is published in 2010. So check other references too.	Changed as suggested
Optional/General comments	Method seems interesting.	