



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11626
Title of the Manuscript:	A real time Secured Voice Framework using the totally reconstructed Discrete Wavelet Transformation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. How the coefficients for the 6 different filter banks are generated is not mentioned. 2. Scrambling procedure adopted is not sturdy 3. The author has claimed that his/her work is real time encryption and scrambling of speech signals. But it is not undertaken. 4. The title of the article says it is Secured Voice Framework using the <i>totally reconstructed Discrete Wavelet Transformation</i>. Explanation is required on this part.	1. Newly Described 2. Newly described 3. Newly described 4. Newly described
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Figures can be made clear 2. Poor clarity in explaining the methodology.	1.done 2.done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Poor in English writing	Checked and done