



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11644
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Mamdani and Sugeno Fuzzy Inference Systems for prediction (with application to prices of Fund in Egypt)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The results are interesting and provide a useful contribution to its area of research. Before publishing the authors have to make improvements. In the text, on page 2, line 8,9,10 the number of chapters have to be corrected. In tables 6 and 8, the name Sugeno should be written with the capital letter. The Results should be written more detailed as a separate chapter. The Conclusion is at the end of the paper and includes a short overview of the paper.	References supporting FIS have been added All notes/ comments have been reviewed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		