



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11700
Title of the Manuscript:	A new approach of modified Mickens' iteration method for solving some nonlinear jerk equations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Author should re-write all parts of sections i.e. introduction, section 2 &4 in the light of manuscript, not same written in the paper entitled "A New Approach of Iteration Method for Solving Some Nonlinear Jerk Equations" By B. M. Ikramul Hague in journal Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences ,Volume 13 ,Issue 11, Version 1.0 ,Year 2013. 2. Author also should not give the above reference in the manuscript, kindly give the reason behind it. 3. Author uses the same example in section 3 which is already uses the manuscript given in comment 1. Justify the answer in this regard. 4. If your solution given in section 3 is new, kindly know me how it is different from solution given in manuscript in comment 1. 5. The author given the table in section 5 is same as given in manuscript in comment 1. Justify your answer.	1. The Abstract, Introduction and physical discussion have been changed and improved. 2. The mentioned reference has been introduced. 3. In the previous article I had used only one particular Jerk functions $x \dot{x} \ddot{x} - \dot{x}$ and the methodology was different. In this article, I have used two particular Jerk functions (i) $x \dot{x} \ddot{x} - \dot{x}$ and (ii) $\dot{x}^3 + x^2 \dot{x}$ to show a new approach of extended iteration procedure. 4. The first example of my present article is same and methodology is different. But the results are almost similar. 5. No, the results are not same; would you please see the modified T_1 and modified T_2 of both articles again?
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has written same language in the paper which is already published in journal Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences ,Volume 13 ,Issue 11, Version 1.0 ,Year 2013 entitled "A New Approach of Iteration Method for Solving Some Nonlinear Jerk Equations" By B. M. Ikramul Haque. Also, author has not given reference of this above paper in their manuscript.	The language has been changed.