



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12048
Title of the Manuscript:	A Simple and Unified Method for Automatic Generation of Picture Maze The author change d the title to Picture Maze Generation by Successive Insertion of Path Segment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	As the authors described, that the algorithms and methods used were established in previous works. Then what is this paper's contribution? It could be the study of examples or other things that I missed. Maybe the authors can try to present more clearly in this regard.	It is often a subtle problem. Considering it, I still expect there is anything valuable that I added.
Minor REVISION comments	Please check the English presentation carefully and fix minor grammar mistakes. Since I am not a native English speaker, no specific comments are provided.	I reviewed the manuscripts through and made some revision, when I thought it should be.
Optional/General comments		By the way, I changed the paper title: Picture Maze Generation by Successive Insertion of Path Segment