



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12256
Title of the Manuscript:	Discovery of Novel Association Rules Based on Genetic Algorithms
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The framework of the proposed approach was not clearly introduced; authors should clearly describe the research framework. 2. What's the meaning of ARs, Fk, NDi, and CHRi in Fig 1?	The framework is reintroduced. Abbreviations have declared
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In Figure 1, arrows and lines are not linked properly, it should be redrawn.	Figure is redrawn
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. This study used genetic algorithm to incorporate novelty criterion with data mining techniques in order to find the useful knowledge. 2. The conclusion is clear and adequate. 3. The proposed method has been validated.	