



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12581
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation of Suspensions of Helical Rigid Fibers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	PAGE 15: Line 300. There is no Fig. 4.7. PAGE 17: Line 331. There is no Fig. 4.12(a). PAGE 20: Line 377. There is no Fig. 4.23. Line 379: "more" should be changed to "much". Line 395: "where as" should be "whereas". Line: "others" should be changed to "other".	Agree with reviewer. The manuscript has been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is of high standard and well-written and is publishable.	I would like to thank the reviewer for his/her valuable comments.