



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12581
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation of Suspensions of Helical Rigid Fibers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 300: Looking at Fig. 4.7 – Wrong label of Figure. Line 331: see Fig. 4.12(a), and the speed - Wrong label of Figure.	Agree with reviewer. The manuscript has been corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 296: Here we will use the method suggested in [20]. – Reference is missing. Line 309 : In Fig. 6, ~~straight~~ - spacing	As for reference [20], the reference has been listed among the references, having the same number, 20. [20] Mohapatra, P., Khamari, A. and Raval, M. A method for structural analysis of α -helices of membrane proteins, <i>Journal of Molecular Modeling</i> . 2004; 10:393–398. As for the second comment, I agree with reviewer and the manuscript has been corrected.
Optional/General comments	The author is now publishing under Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Regina and has produced a similar work with similar model under Royal Institute of Technology School of Computer Science and Communication KTH CSC Sweden which has been published in 2012. The author is only republishing his previous work (Simulation of Suspensions of Curved Fibers) under different title. http://www.nada.kth.se/utbildning/grukth/exjobb/rapportlister/2012/rapporter12/al-hassan_yazid_12027.pdf	The one published in 2012 was a master thesis of 55 pages. As you know, the thesis itself is a particular kind of document. It's primary purpose is to examine the student for the degree. And it isn't written with dissemination of research results in mind. There are parts of the thesis that are not contributions to knowledge. A thesis needs a different kind of literature review than an academic journal article, for example. So I decide to publish part of my thesis as a journal article. Another important reason is that I want to make my research more accessible to wider audience as journal articles are more readily available than a master thesis. And finally, I would like to thank the reviewer for his/her valuable comments.