



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12591
Title of the Manuscript:	A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR PLATO'S THEORY OF FORMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please use the same fonts for the text. Also, I have observed some grammatical mistakes. So please revised these. Some relevant references, 1. Muhammad Altaf Khan, Saeed Islam, Muhammad Arif, and Zahoor ul Haq, "Transmission Model of Hepatitis B Virus with the Migration Effect," BioMed Research International, vol. 2013, Article ID 150681, 10 pages, 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/150681 2. Muhammad Altaf Khan, Saeed Islam, Sher Afzal Khan, and Gul Zaman, "Global Stability of Vector-Host Disease with Variable Population Size," BioMed Research International, vol. 2013, Article ID 710917, 9 pages, 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/710917	1. I have made all the necessary changes to the article in order for it to appear in a uniform "font format". Nevertheless, I reserved 'Italics' only for titles of Platonic dialogues, as well as whenever I quoted passages from the original texts (Plato or Proclus). 2. I have gone meticulously through the entire paper, in order to correct all the grammatical, as well as the syntactical mistakes. I am convinced that I have corrected all (or at least almost all) of them. The alterations are highlighted in yellow colour on the PDF version of the paper (as directed by the Editors.)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Reviewer for his comments and suggestions for "minor revisions". Due to these, the paper is upgraded in quality and its appearance is improved.