



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12616
Title of the Manuscript:	A Multi-Antenna Design Scheme based on Hadamard Matrices for Wireless Communications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The theoretical derivation should present BER performance in terms of SNR and the theoretical results should be validated by the simulation results. This paper proposed a QO-STBC scheme based on Hadamard matrix for multi-antenna system design. Simulation results show that the performance of the proposed scheme is improved compared to the conventional QO-STBC scheme. However, the theoretical derivation should present BER performance in terms of SNR and the theoretical results should be validated by the simulation results.	Thank you for that observation. The results shown were obtained from theoretical derivations shown in terms of BER and SNR. However, the simulation results are logically an independent research that anyone can carry out. It can be corroborated that in other similar studies (see references therein) that such approach (theoretical vis-a-vis simulation results) at the moment are the likes of our study.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		