



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12616
Title of the Manuscript:	A Multi-Antenna Design Scheme based on Hadamard Matrices for Wireless Communications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	* You should present and defend the choice of the tool you used in numerical simulation (I suppose Matlab especially) to make the comparison old scheme. *you should estimate also the time and ressource computation when we implement the new scheme. It can be costly. You should talk about that point. *you must develop a review literature by containing more recent references of 2014 as <i>Anoh, K. , Ochonogor, O. , Abd-Alhameed, R. , Jones, S. and Mapuka, T. (2014) Improved Alamouti STBC Multi-Antenna System Using Hadamard Matrices. Int'l J. of Communications, Network and System Sciences, 7, 83-89. doi: 10.4236/ijcns.2014.73010.</i>	Thank you for these suggestions. Comparisons have been done on MATLAB just like the papers on which this work has been compared with. Also, the literature has been revised to include the reference you suggested and others. Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		