



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_12981
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality inspection of bag packaging red beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>) using fuzzy clustering algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Does not arise.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The use of wavelet for denoising requires explanation in line 90. In figure 3e clarity is required. In table 1 row above line 309, the percentage calculation is low.	Line 90 in the submitted manuscript have nothing with "wavelet for denoising" but in line 223 of submitted manuscript the reference "[33]" or "Li, X., He, Y., Wu, C., & Sun, D., 2007. Nondestructive measurement and fingerprint analysis of soluble solid content of tea soft drink based on VIS/NIR spectroscopy. Journal of Food Engineering, 82(3), 316–323." is given for more explanation in "wavelet for denoising". Tables 1 have been corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article brings forth <u>clearly</u> the use of soft computing techniques in quality inspection of bag packaging of red beans. This is a creditable contribution to food processing and quality control.	