



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_13466
Title of the Manuscript:	Paracontact Finsler Structures on Vector Bundles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Overall presentation of the manuscript is good, but in final the author fails to conclude their remarks as a section conclusion. It is recommended that the author should add the section conclusion and compare their results with other methods. Also, give critical points about your result's to show your work better than the other in literature.	Dear Reviewer, Thank you very much for your valuable comments about our paper. The paper is an original paper and there are new results about Paracontact Finsler Structures on Vector Bundles.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		