



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14067
Title of the Manuscript:	Symbolic Computation of Adomian Polynomials Based On Rach's Rule
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author worked hard to prepare the manuscript but as far as the Journal standard is concerned, the manuscript should be revised as follows: 1. MATLAB is strong software to deal any kind of mathematical problem. The author should use another software (Like the latest version of Mathematica) to make code for Adomian polynomials. Both the codes prepared in MATLAB and Mathematica should be run at the same time in order to note the processing time. 2. The title of the manuscript should be changed in a way like the comparison of these two software w.r.t. time. 3. The citations should be revised as many of them are repeated in different locations of the article.	1. The authors thank the reviewer for the valuable suggestion. The Primary objective of this study is to develop MATLAB code for generating Adomian Polynomials based on Rach's rule by decomposing the positive integer. The idea motivated by the reviewer through this comment will be taken in the next paper work. The authors shall make comparative study of this present one with the next work. 2. When the authors prepare the next paper(comparative study) an appropriate title will be fixed for it. 3. The citations are revised as suggest by the reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		