



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14177
Title of the Manuscript:	Similarity-Based Content Retrieval in Self-Organizing Peer-to-Peer Networks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NONE	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NONE	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The referenced literature is current and relevant. The authors describe appropriately the previous work in the field as well as the novelty of their contribution. Figures are clear and easy to read. In general, the paper is well written with appropriate level of detail. There are no language, editing or formatting issues. Authors outline the directions of future investigations and put their work in context.	Thank you so much.