



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6115
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ITEM RESPONSE MODEL FOR UNDERSTANDING ITEM NON-RESPONSE IN GHANAIAI SURVEYS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this paper Item Response 10 Theory (IRT) has been used to identify the most appropriate IRT model for understanding item. The techniques are appropriate and practical.	The corrections have been made in the original manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper could be made shorter a little bit by removing some of the details.	