



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6840
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME NEW RESULTS AND GENERALIZATIONS IN G-CONE METRIC FIXED POINT THEORY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, this article is similar as the article of (M. Al-Khaleel, Sh. Al-Sharif, and M. Khandaqji, Fixed points for contraction mappings in generalized cone metric spaces, Jor. J. of Math. and Stat., 5(4):291-307, 2012). The authors have proved their results without using the normality property of cone that only the difference between these two articles. In addition, The authors copy many texts from the above article without any change for example: Remark 3.1, Remark 3.2, proof of corollary 3.1, proof of corollary 3.2, proof of corollary 3.3 and proof of Theorem 3.2.	I would like to thank this reviewer for his/her comments, and our response is below: Our manuscript here is more general where we deal with G-cone metric spaces as they are in their general notion with no use of the normality property of cone, whereas in the article of (M. Al-Khaleel, Sh. Al-Sharif, and M. Khandaqji, Fixed points for contraction mappings in generalized cone metric spaces, Jor. J. of Math. and Stat., 5(4):291-307, 2012), to avoid the general case and dealing with the G-cone metric spaces in their general notion, the authors introduced a norm and used the normality of cone and gave their results for what so called G_K cone metric spaces which is indeed turns out to be a G-metric but not a G-CONE metric. There are some similarities but the results here are generalizations in different metric spaces and the proofs of the main results are different since we need to work in G-cone metric and use partial ordering where we have a mapping G from $X \times X \times X \rightarrow E$, E: is a real Banach space but not to $\mathbb{R}^+$ as for G_K, for example proving that x_n is a Cauchy sequence in G-cone is not the same as in G_K - cone . Nevertheless, we went over the manuscript again and did some modifications which are highlighted in yellow.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		