



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7539
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON BETWEEN SPARTAN-3E AND VIRTEX-6 TECHNOLOGIES ON FPGA FOR UART TRANSMISSION
Type of the Article	Research results

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract should be clear & case specific. Related work should be added with proper reference citations. The section of result and discussion should be discussed thoroughly. At the end of introduction each section should be mentioned in brief. Simulation setup is required.	All that is required by your comment has been done accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	Include a section of future work.	Future work has been included.
Optional/General comments	Section2.3 needs to be explained thoroughly.	It has been explained as per the need.