



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7670
Title of the Manuscript:	Generalized Sturm-Liouville Problems and Chebychev Collocation Method
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-The author must study the convergence Analysis of the proposed methods. 2-The English style is very poor in all of the paper, He must revise it. 3-The existence and uniqueness for the proposed problem may study. 4-In the abstract, he must appear what is the new which presented in this paper. Writing Errors (Minor comments) Some writing errors are marked in the attached PDF file.	1. The convergence analysis of the proposed method studied by Changqing in [changqing (2012)]. 2. revise (is made) 3. add Ref. (is made) 4. is made
Minor REVISION comments	[1] The first paragraph in page 101 has more errors in names, years. Please, revise it be carefully. [2] The sum in Eqs.(2.3), (2.4) must contains dash above it [3] The Eq.(3.3) must write as Eq.(2.4). [4] In Eqs.(3.6) and (3.7), all \alpha and \beta must change to a and b, respectively. [5] The last three references of the list must remove in the correct place (alphabetical arrangement)	1. is made 2. is made 3. is made 4. Ok 5. Ok



SDI Review Form 1.6

	[6] Some more references didn't cite in the text of the paper. Please, revise it. [7] The title of reference 11 and 12 are same. Please, revise it. [8] The title of reference 24 and 26 are same. Please, revise it. [9] The name of authors in Ref.[31] must write small.	6. Revise (is made) 7. Revise 8. is made 9. is made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		