



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7892
Title of the Manuscript:	Sine-cosine method for a class of nonlinear fourth order variant of a generalized Camassa-Holm equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This work consists in an application of an already known method, which assumes to find special solutions of the form (2.3) or (2.4), to equations of very special forms (see (1.6) and (1.7)). The analysis consists then in elementary computations. The restriction $a = c^2$ (see (3.3) and (3.22)) is also an additional restriction. It causes to omit two terms in the starting equations. In the abstract the author states that 'these solutions may be important of significance for the explanation of some practical physical problems'. If he had shown an example, then it would be interesting and valuable. Otherwise, the work seems to be a simple student exercise.	We consider an already known method to study a new system is significant.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		