



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7897
Title of the Manuscript:	Centroid -Based and Bayesian Algorithms Performance
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	line 183: explain tfidf line 178-207, align the codes with indents, e.g. End, End-IF, etc. line 220, the equation should be made clear, same for those in line 340, 343 .. line 349-352, cite a reference or give some URL indicating the source of Newswire-a line 384, table 3, numbers are overlapped line 391, table 4, numbers are overlapped line 398, table 5, numbers are overlapped line 340, table 6, numbers are overlapped line 450, summary and conclusion - suggest to rename it to just Summary or Conclusion page numbers are missing from most of the references	1.tf*df is explained 2.line 178... corrected 3.line 220,340,343 is done 4.line 349-352 is done 5.line 384 to line 340 is done 6. Line 450 is done. I corrected all mistakes that you indicated also; I highlight that in the manuscript. If the paper is required any modification, please let me know. I will make it. Thank you very much for your valuable instructions. Wishing you all the best.
Minor REVISION comments	Should justify in both the Abstract and Conclusion on why this technique is important, and how is it different from other existing techniques	
Optional/General comments		