



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7930
Title of the Manuscript:	Bayesian Inference Based on the Interval Grouped Data from the Weibull Model with Application
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It needs major revision regarding the substitution mentioned from line 105 to line 110. 1. English writing should be polished. For example, line 49, "Type II" not "Type 2". Line 61, What is singly censored data? 2. From line 105 to line 110, it needs mathematical proof to show the substitution by the average Z is right way to obtain the same result of integral in the denominator of (9). I personally do not believe it is right mathematics. 3. In the application, how to decide the end point for each interval. 4. The manuscript needs major revision	Done, more explanation is added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English writing needs to be polished.	Yes, the paper went through proof reading and grammar checking.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The application is interesting. But how do you decide the end interval points?	Done, More References, and explanation is added in the paper.