



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8247
Title of the Manuscript:	SINC-COLLOCATION ALGORITHM FOR SOLVING NONLINEAR FREDHOLM INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the paper, there is very little innovation comparing with the reference [16] (A. Mohsen and M.El-Gamel,A sinc-collocation method for the linear Fredholm integrodifferential equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 58 (2007), 380-390.) Although the manuscript is the continuation of [16], I think the authors should clearly point out the difference and the difficulty between the manuscript and [16].	The paper is an extension of our previous paper [16]. The paper reviews previous research of the more general problem and presents the algorithm and several examples.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		