



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8471
Title of the Manuscript:	MMPP/G/m/m+r Queuing System Model to analytically evaluate Cloud Computing Center Performances
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I think that the paper is accepted for publication after the following minor revisions: Line 81: change "research" into "researches"; Line 85: Change "transformation" into "transform"; Line 145: change "are" into "is"; Line 157: change "Represent" into "represents"; Line 169: change "are" into "is"; From Line210 to the end of the paper: change "/" in conditional probability into " ";	Thanks to the Reviewer: All the remarks suggested by the Reviewer are done and are highlighted in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		