



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_8887
Title of the Manuscript:	About Euclidean Codes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
In general it is a work that deserves to be published	The small corrections: 1) page3 row 17 :there a (instead b) 2) page 6 proof of proposition 3.1:	1. OK 2. OK



SDI Review Form 1.6

	$\prod_{i=1}^m q_j$ instead $\prod_{j=1}^m q_j$ (three times)	OK
--	---	----