SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_8887**
Title of the Manuscript:	**About Euclidean Codes**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Page- 3, line 10, the sentences will be connected by "and" as "We set... and define the encoding...". 2. Page- 3, line 14, add "is" before "a field ". 3. The "References" should be rephrased written to be as the same order for example: i. The second reference, put the year between the brackets as (2004) and check the similar cases throughout the rest references. ii. The fourth reference, add comma after the year and check the similar cases throughout the rest references. iii. The references 1, 10, 11, 12 and 14, put the pp. after the year as the rest references.	1. OK 2. OK 3. i. , ii. and iii. OK
Optional/General comments	-	