



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9125
Title of the Manuscript:	Energy decay rate for Bresse system with nonlinear localized damping
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If an ethical issue, please clarify	Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would be better that the calculations were done with programming, for example MATLAB software. Also your paper doesn't have any figure for compare with other results.	The results are the basic theory, it is the basic for the calculations and it doesn't need the calculation in this paper.