



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9590
Title of the Manuscript:	2-tuple linguistic Bonferroni mean operators and their application to multiple attribute group decision making
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Page 2: line 15, trapezoid ->triangular. 2. Page 10: line 11 and line 12, the deduction seems to be wrong.	Many thanks for your value comments. 1. "trapezoid" has been changed into "triangular". Please see Page 2: line 51 in our revised paper. 2. We are really sorry for our mistake. Because this deduction is wrong, we have removed Eq. (17) in our revised paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. For the convenience of reading, the paper should be added the page number. 2. The references should be listed in the order of their citation within the text. 3. The manuscript contains several typos and incorrect grammar. Please deeply check.	Thanks for your constructive comments. 1. The revised paper has been added the paper number. 2. The references have been listed in the order of their citation within the text. 3. We have revised several typos and incorrect grammar.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. There are 2-tuple linguistic and linguistic 2-tuple in the paper, is there any difference? 2. Page 7: line 10, proof (3), what does "2TLPG" mean?	Thank you very much for providing these comments. 1. The meanings of 2-tuple and linguistic 2-tuple are the same. We have changed "linguistic 2-tuple" into "2-tuple" in the revised paper. Please see Page 4: line 135 in our revised paper. 2. "2TLPG" is an incorrect symbol. We are sorry for our negligence. We have changed "2TLPG" into "2TLB^{p,q}". Please see Page 6: line 201, proof (3) in our revised version.