



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9700
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-ⁿ-tuple of Contravariant Functors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper generalizes some known results about modules in the frame of functors between abelian categories. It is very technical without explicit examples. Though examples are mentioned on p.4, they only refer to the general situation of 2 adjoint functors, without explaining what the results of the paper become for them; it would be interesting to develop these examples later on to precise the meaning of the obtained results. Necessary corrections: P. 2, l. 5 : " left" instead of "lift" P. 4, l. 10, suppress " a " after " For" P. 4 from line 10 to line -11 the letter G should be replaced. Indeed, it is confusing since G refers to a functor elsewhere. P. 10, in Proposition 10 line 1: go to the line after = .	I've done all the requested corrections.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	.	