



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_11194
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ALTERNATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTING OUTLIERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-----	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<u>Line 258-259</u> : How it can be claimed without taking other data (other than Milk data). <u>Line 12-13</u> : How can u define global efficiency measure. <u>Line 69-73</u> : Equations are already available. Write the references.	• The author added the definition of global efficiency in line 64. • The author added the reference of the equations in line 72.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Figures do not interpreted properly. 2. Result analysis is not sufficient and it could have been better. 3. Write the reference numbers properly through the text. 4. Avoid grammatical errors.	• The interpretation of the figures is from line 101 to line 108. • The author added the sufficient analysis in new section called 5.3 Discussion and conclusion.