SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJMCS_7863**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On perfect commutative EIFA-ring.**
Type of the Article	**Research article - Original**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	P: 3, line: 14, it's enough to mention that R is commutative (that is , not necessary to mention that R is associative) .	
Optional/General comments	I prefer to add more results to this work like examples and study many additional relationships between EIFA-rings and several other concepts as prime ideals, maximal ideals, integral domains, etc. Also you can study the relationship between EIFA-rings and EKFN-rings by showing which one implies the other or what the conditions to be imposed in order to satisfy this property. All of this will support your work and contribute to improve and strengthen your paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Areej M. Abduldaim**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin – China**