



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_13451
Title of the Manuscript:	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF BAMBOO BICYCLE FRAME
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The purpose of the study is well-defined. The introduction is sufficient. The methodology and applications are sufficient. The results are discussed according to the purpose. The references are related to the subject. The manuscript is acceptable for publication.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Duzce University, Duzce/Turkey