



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7422
Title of the Manuscript:	THE BINOMIAL COMBINATORIAL CONVOLUTION SUMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very elegantly written proposition and proof , but in the end of the manuscript (section 3 , corollary 3.2) the authors claim that “ for N, positive odd integer “ convolution sum work. It would be interesting if the authors could comment or give at least one counter example to show that N positive even integer it fails or why it does not apply (at least give an argument). No doubt they have done a good work and it would be very useful in many practical applications. Friendly Comment: Can this combinatorial sum be represented by finite differences? It would be very	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	interesting in partial differentia equations	
--	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer