



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJMCS_5984
Title of the Manuscript:	A Neural Network Model for Improved Internet Service Resource Provisioning
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some grammatical and symbol errors. For example, line 80-81, " ...that give the ...shall be revised; "[21] propose the .." shall be revised. Line 393, " Example,..." shall be revised; line 395, " it important to ..." shall be revised.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper seek for a forecast model which can represents the inherent characteristics of Internet traffic and forecast the desired traffic load to satisfy performance target using Artificial Neural network technology. This topic is interesting but there are few mistakes. Some of them have been listed above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Changjin Xu
Department, University & Country	Guizhou Key Laboratory of Economics System Simulation, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, China