



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6547
Title of the Manuscript:	Audio CODECs using the interpolation "LSM" and comparison with PCM
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Algorithms should be described with higher rigour, from the mathematical point view. That is, a quantitative description instead of a qualitative description. The input and output parameters for algorithms should be clarified. Figures 1 and 2 have vertical axis with mixed up units % and dB, please use independent axis. Figures 3, 4 and 5 have poor quality and their axis names are missing. Please use vector graphics and name properly the vertical and horizontal axis. The bibliographical cites must be in ascendant order. Please rewrite some paragraphs which are very difficult to read. Also check some spelling and grammatical errors. i.e. "3. The proposed algorithms and experiments results"	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer