SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_10036**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determinant of Matrix by Order Condensation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
	(i) The abstract should be rewritten in correct form. (ii) The Chio's method for evaluating determinants by condensation should be taken into account. (iii) The implementation of the algorithm should be considered. **(iv) A COMPUTER PROGRAM BASED ON THE ALGORITHM SHOULD BE INCLUDED.**	
Compulsory REVISION comments	(i) The abstract should be rewritten in correct form. (ii) The Chio's method for evaluating determinants by condensation should be taken into account. **(iii)** The implementation of the algorithm should be considered. **(iv) A COMPUTER PROGRAM BASED ON THE ALGORITHM SHOULD BE INCLUDED.**	
Minor REVISION comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer