



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8683
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATIONS TO THE QUADRATIC FORMS AND PRODUCT-TO-SUM FORMULAE
Type of the Article	Original paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This paper gives many equalities and function equations, and so the contents are very clear and definite, and its representations are simple. Obtained results have definite values in a high level and so, I would like to recommend its publication.	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Minor mistakes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	For many formulas and sentences, we find many unsuitable indented sentences; not suitable. For example, 1 page -5 lines: for k - indented, not good. Even in the abstract, - 2 line, in Theorem 1.1, indented in 2 page: - 1 line: Section Sections 6 page: the Eq. (20), I think the should be deleted as in Eq. (20), Many places. 15 page, reference 1, Ottawa is right? Some time, there is no family name.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer