



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8776
Title of the Manuscript:	TUBA'S REPRESENTATION OF THE PURE BRAID GROUP ON THREE STRANDS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should revised the references .There should be a lot of research paper after 2001 and 1975. Which the authors not mention.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should read more paper related these topics and update the results. almost results are known	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Introduction should be update Some results are goood in this paper but not meaning full	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer