



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9193
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Inference for Kumaraswamy Distribution Based on Generalized Order Statistics with Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This article has merit with respect to its content, but the impact of the article is greatly demised by good writing. The introduction is a good written. You need to break such long sections down into subsections and/or make clear distinct points regarding what you intend to present and why it matters. There is no conclusion to the article it just ends. You need to summarize what you have presented and why it matters there, too.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is accepted and is written very good and requires a minor-write for no remarks.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bachioua Lahcene
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics, Hail University, KSA, Saudi Arabia.