SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJMCS_7892**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Sine-cosine method for a class of nonlinear fourth order variant of a generalized Camassa-Holm equation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In this paper the authors study a class of nonlinear fourth order analogue of a generalized CamassaHolm equation by using sine-cosine method. The results seem correct. Also the paper is well-written and systematic. Summing up, I recommend this paper for publication.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Erdoğan Şen**
Department, University & Country	**Namik Kemal University, Turkey**