



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_8835
Title of the Manuscript:	Algorithms for Solving Doubly Bordered Tridiagonal Linear Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1). Page 7, " will be refereed " should read " will be referred ". The same problem also exists in Page 8 and Page 16. 2). Page 22, Example 5.1, the vectors a, b, d, q are not written in the corrected form, please check them carefully. 3). Page 23-24, Example 5.2-Example 5.4, the vectors f, p, g should be given in the transposed form. 4). The writing of references is not standardized, especially for [10], [11], [13], [18], [20], [23], [26]. The authors should recheck them carefully.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jiteng Jia
Department, University & Country	School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China